RESEARCH PAPER



Times International Journal of Research

ISSN No.:-2349-4867

ISSUE NOVEMBER 2016

AN ANALYSIS OF SLECETED PSYCHOLOGICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF FOOTBALL PLAYERS

OF HARYANA STATEAT DISTRICT

AND STATE LEVEL

AUTHOR

Mr. CHARAN SINGH

Research Scholar, Department of Physical Education, CDLU, Sirsa (Haryana)

ABSTRACT:

In this Study, we present how much significant are various psychological factor among football players at district and state level in Haryana state. A sample is taken of 140 players from 07 districts of Haryana state (20 players from each district), having significant differences between the State and District Level Football players as 't' values are greater than 1.97 (required to be significant at .05 level of confidence with 138 degree of freedom). Whereas in the case of remaining 2 other Personality Trait Factors i.e. L (Trusting V/S Suspicious, t = 0.45) and Q_2 (Group dependent V/S Self-sufficient, t = 1.52) significant differences between State and District Level Football players are not observed, as the 't' valuesare less than 1.97 required to be significant at .05 level of confidence with 138 degree of freedom.

KEYWARDS:- Psychological, football, players & personality.

INTRODUCTION:

"Sports by their very nature are enjoyable, challenging, all absorbing and require a certain amount of skill and physical conditions."

RESEARCH PAPER

Times International Journal of Research

ISSN No.:-2349-4867

ISSUE NOVEMBER 2016

The sports have developed into a distinct scientific discipline and each nation is vying with the other to produce top class players to win in international competitions. A considerable research is devoted to identify factors that will be predictive of achieving high level of skills in each sport with proper coaching.

Training techniques based on new findings in exercise physiology, biomechanics, sports medicine, sports psychology etc. are adopted to bring about maximum possible unfolding of potential in sports performance.

Today the preparation of an athlete for achievement is a complex dynamic state, characterized by high level of physical and psychological efficiency. Thus, athlete's training today is a multi-sided process of expedient use of aggregate factors (means, methods and conditions) to influence the development of an athlete and ensure the necessary level of preparation.

The present study attempts to examine selected psychological characteristics of Football players in Haryana state (District and State level).

OBJECTIVE:

A comparative analysis of psychological characteristics between district and state level football players.

HYPOTHESES

There will be no significant difference between psychological characteristics (personality traits) of Football players of Haryana at district and state level.





Times International Journal of Research

ISSN No.:-2349-4867

ISSUE NOVEMBER 2016

METHODS & PROCEDURE

In this study A sample is taken of 140 players from 07 districts of Haryana state (20 players from each district). A purposive sampling technique is adopted in the present study.

STATSTICAL TOOLS:

Data analysis through statistical technique such as mean and 't' test have been applied. The Cattell's 16 P.F.Questionnaire is taken to assess personality score of individual, out of which four personality factors-B, C, L and Q₂ (Less intelligent vs More intelligent, Affected by feeling vs Emotionally stable, Trusting vs Suspicious and Group dependent vs Self-sufficient respectively) are considered only.

ANALYSIS OF DATAAND FINDINGS:

The analysis and findings are as below:

COMPARISON OF MEAN VALUES BETWEEN STATE AND DISTRICT FOOTBALL PLAYERS ON FACTOR 'B' (LESS INTELIGENT V/S MORE INTELIGENT)

Groups	Mean	Std. Dev	d.f.	S.E.D.	't'- Ratio
State	5.50	2.20	138	0.35	5.25*
District	3.65	1.96			

^{*}Significant at 0.05 level

 $t_{0.05} = 1.97$



Times International Journal of Resea

SSUE NOVEMBER 2016

ISSN No.:-2349-4867

This tablereveals significant difference is found in factor 'B' between state and district level Football players. The mean score of state level players was 5.50whereas the score of district level players was 3.65.

The 't' ratio was found 5.25 which is significant at 0.05 level of confidence. The 't' value required to be significant at 0.05 level of confidence with 138 degree of freedom should be 1.97.

COMPARISON OF MEAN VALUES BETWEEN STATE AND DISTRICT FOOTBALL PLAYERS ON FACTOR 'C'(AFFECTED BY FEELINGS V/S **EMOTIONALLY STABLE)**

Groups	Mean	Std. Dev	d.f.	S.E.D.	't'- Ratio
State	4.78	1.13	138	0.22	2.98*
District	4.12	1.47			

^{*}Significant at 0.05 level

 $t_{0.05} = 1.97$

This table shows that a significant difference was found in factor 'C' between state and district level Football players. The mean score of state level players is 4.78 whereas the score of district level players is 4.12.





Times International Journal of Research

ISSUE NOVEMBER 2016

ISSN No.:-2349-4867

The 't' ratio found is 2.98 which is significant at 0.05 level of confidence. The 't' value required to be significant at 0.05 level of confidence with 138 degree of freedom should be 1.97.

COMPARISON OF MEAN VALUES BETWEEN STATE AND DISTRICT FOOTBALL PLAYERS ON FACTOR 'L'(TRUSTING V/S SUSPICIOUS)

Groups	Mean	Std. Dev	d.f.	S.E.D.	't'- Ratio
State	5.64	1.75	138	0.31	0.45*
District	5.78	1.90			

^{*}Significant at 0.05 level

 $t_{0.05} = 1.97$

From this table, a non-significant difference is found in factor 'L' between state and district level Football players. The mean score of state level players is 5.64 whereas the score of district level players is 5.78.

The 't' ratio was found 0.45 which is not significant at 0.05 level of confidence. The 't' value required to be significant at 0.05 level of confidence with 138 degree of freedom should be 1.97.





Times International Journal of Research

ISSN No.:-2349-4867

ISSUE NOVEMBER 2016

COMPARISON OF MEAN VALUES BETWEEN STATE AND DISTRICT FOOTBALL PLAYERS ON FACTOR ${}^{'}Q_{2}{}^{'}$ (GROUP DEPENDENT V/S SELF SUFFICIENT)

Groups	Mean	Std. Dev	d.f.	S.E.D.	't'- Ratio
State	5.16	1.42	138	0.30	1.52
District	4.70	2.10			

^{*}Significant at 0.05 level

 $t_{0.05} = 1.97$

Per this table a non-significant difference is found in factor 'Q₂' between state and district level Football players. The mean score of state level players is 5.16 whereas the score of district level players is 4.70.

The 't' ratio found is 1.52 which is non-significant at 0.05 level of confidence. The 't' value required to be significant at 0.05 level of confidence with 138 degree of freedom is 1.97.

CONCLUSION:

From the above tables, 2 out of 16 Personality Trait Factors namely, B (Less Intelligent V/S More Intelligent, t = 5.25) and C (Affected by feeling V/S Emotionally Stable, t = 2.98) having significant differences between the State and District Level Football players as 't' values are greater than 1.97 (required to be significant at .05 level of confidence with 138 degree of freedom). Whereas in the case of remaining 2 other Personality Trait Factors i.e. L (Trusting V/S Suspicious, t = 0.45) and Q_2 (Group dependent V/S Self-sufficient, t = 1.52) significant differences between State and

RESEARCH PAPER



Times International Journal of Research

ISSUE NOVEMBER 2016

ISSN No.:-2349-4867

District Level Football players are not observed, as the 't' values are less than 1.97 required to be significant at .05 level of confidence with 138 degree of freedom.

REFERENCES:

- 1 Doncash Seaton et al., Basic Book of Sports (Englewood Cliffs, N.J. Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1956)
- 2 L. Matveyev, Fundamentals of Sports Training (Moscow: Progress Publishers, 1981)
- 3 W.F. Homravella, "Preparation of Olympic Candidates from the Psychological Point of View," The International Olympic Academy Tenth Session (1970)
- 4 V.S.S.M. Rao, Abstracts International Congress of Sports Sciences Patiala: Netaji Subhas National Institute of Sports, November, 1982)
- 5 Raymond B. Cattell and Herber W. Eber, Manual of Sixteen Personality Factors Questionnaire (U.S.A.: The Institute for Personality and Ability Testing, 1962).
- 6 Manilal K.P. (Unpublished Master's Thesis, Jiwaji University, 1985).